| Octobei | 2016 Edition Filed: | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FORI Medi | | | | | |
| | TUCKY DEPARTMENT OF WORKERS' CLAIMS | | | | |
| Med | ical Report of DR. | | | | |
| Α. | PLAINTIFF/EMPLOYEE INFORMATION | | | | |
| 1. | Plaintiff/Employee's name: | | | | |
| 2. 3. | Last four digits of Social Security Number/Green Card: Date of birth: | | | | |
| 4. | Plaintiff/Employee's job title and employer: | | | | |
| 5. | Date of examination(s): | | | | |
| 6. | By whom was the examination requested: | | | | |
| 7. | Prior examination(s) by this physician (if any) and date(s): | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| B. | PLAINTIFF/EMPLOYEE HISTORY | | | | |

C. TREATMENT - Prior and Current

Based upon a review of records and/or history related by plaintiff/employee, treatment provided for this injury has been as follows: (Include any periods of hospitalization.)

| D. | | PHYSICAL EX | AMINATION | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Results of physical examination, including objective medical findings to support complaints and/or diagnosis: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| E. DIAGNOSTIC TESTING/Injury | | | | | | | | | | |
| Include any testing re Myelograms, EMG/N | | | conclusions. This will include X-rays, CT scans, MRIs, | | | | | | | |
| | | Personally | | | | | | | | |
| Test | Date | Reviewed | Summary of Results | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| F. | I | DIAGNOSTIC TEST | TING/Hearing Loss | | | | | | | |
| | reviewed and | relied upon for med | | | | | | | | |
| specify). | co manomon, | , Otoucousiic Limbsion | ns, communication recess reseasing its, or other preuse | | | | | | | |
| Test | | Date | Summary of Results | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| G. DIAGNOST | TIC TESTIN | G/Psychological | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | lusions. This will include Neuropsychological (e.g., | | | | | | |
| Luria-Nebraska, Halstead-Reitan), Academic/Achievement (e.g., WRAT-R), Intellectual Capacity, Personality (| | | | | | | | |
| e.g., MMPI, Millon, etc.), Brain Imaging (MRI, CT, SPECT), or Other (please specify) | | | | | | | | |
| Test | Date | Summary of Results | | | | | | |
| Test | Date | Summary of Results | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| H CHRCIC | CAL DDOCE | DUDE(C) | | | | | | |
| | CAL PROCE | | | | | | | |
| Specify type and date of any surgical procedure. | include ope | rative note if surgery performed by this physician. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| I. DIAG | NOSIS | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| T CAIL | CATION | | | | | | | |
| J. CAU 1. Do you believe the work event as described to | SATION | nuse of the impairment found? Yes No | | | | | | |
| 1. Do you believe the work event as described to | you is the ca | tuse of the impairment found: res No | | | | | | |
| 2. Is any part of the impairment due to a cause o | ther than the | work event described above? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. If yes, what is that cause and the impairment attributable to that cause? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 4. If applicable, do audiograms and other testing of | establish a pat | tern of hearing loss compatible with that caused by | | | | | | |
| hazardous noise exposure in the workplace? | Yes | No | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5. If applicable, within reasonable medical probability, is plaintiff/employee's hearing loss related to repetitive exposure to hazardous noise over an extended period of employment? Yes No | | | | | | | | |
| exposure to nazardous noise over an extended | period of em | ployment? Yes No | | | | | | |
| 6 If applicable within reasonable medical/psy | vchological r | probability is plaintiff/employee's psychological | | | | | | |
| 6. If applicable, within reasonable medical/psychological probability, is plaintiff/employee's psychological condition the direct result of the physical work-related injury? Yes No | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | J | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | AIRMENT | | | | | | | |
| 1. Using the edition of the AMA of the | | | | | | | | |
| Plaintiff/Employee's permanent whole person impairment is%. | | | | | | | | |

2. Chapters, Tables and Pages utilized to arrive at impairment rating for injuries:

| Body Part or System | | Chapter Number | Table Number | Page Number | % Impairment of the Whole Person | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| a. | | | | | | | | | |
| b. | | | | | | | | | |
| c. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Plaintiff/employee had an active impairment prior to this injury. Yes No A. If yes, specify condition producing active impairment. | | | | | | | | |
| | B. If yes, specify percentage of impairment due to the prior active condition. | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Date on which maximum me | edical improven | nent was reache | d: | 20 | | | | |
| <u>L.</u> | | RE | STRICTIONS | | | | | | |
| 1. | The plaintiff/employee descrinjury as follows: | ibed the physic | al requirements | of the type of | work performed at the time of | | | | |
| 2. | Does the plaintiff/employee retain the physical capacity to return to the type of work performed at the time of injury? Yes No If not, why? | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Which restrictions, if any, she | ould be placed v | ıpon plaintiff/em | nployee's work | activities as the result of the injury? | | | | |
| <u></u> | CEDA | | LOUALIER | ATIONS CON | TAXOLOLA N | | | | |
| <u>M.</u> | CERT | IFICATION a | nd QUALIFIC | ATIONS of PI | HYSICIAN | | | | |
| rea | · · | A copy of my | | - | ere formulated within the realm of have not obtained a Department of | | | | |
| | Date | <u> </u> | F | ull name of Ph | ysician | | | | |
| | Depar | tment of Work | xers' Claims Ph | ysician Index | Number | | | | |

Instructions for Completion of Form 107

The medical report forms of the Department of Workers' Claims are designed to provide relevant medical information to administrative law judges to assist in determining the occupational implications of a work-related injury or an occupational disease. Therefore, it is important that each section of the forms be carefully and fully completed.

- 1. All information must be typed or neatly printed.
- 2. The Department of Workers' Claims maintains a Physician Index with curricula vitae of physicians. Physicians may be included in the index by tendering a copy of a current curriculum vitae with a request for inclusion to: Physicians Index Clerk, **Department of Workers' Claims**, 657 Chamberlin Avenue, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.
- 3. The AMA <u>Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment</u> is mandated by statute. Prior to the completion of the Form, the Physician should become familiar with the edition currently directed by statute and regulation to be used. Reference should be made to chapters, page numbers, and tables for all physical injuries. For psychiatric conditions, the class of impairment should be stated, with reference to impairment ratings provided in prior editions.
- 4. Objective medical findings to support a medical diagnosis means information gained through direct observation and testing of the plaintiff/employee, applying objective or standardized methods. KRS 342.0011(33).
- 5. Medical opinions must be founded on reasonable medical probability, not on mere possibility or speculation. Young v. Davidson, Ky., 463 S.W.2d 924 (1971).
- 6. Any person who knowingly and with intent to defraud any insurance company or other person files a statement or claim containing any materially false information or conceals, for the purpose of misleading, information concerning any fact material thereto commits a fraudulent insurance act, which is a crime.